




Patient safety incident response policy

Effective date: 01 February 2025

Estimated refresh date: 31 March 2027

	NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Author	Robert Mann	Associate Director for Safety and Quality, Patient Safety Specialist		22/01/2025
Reviewer	Tracey Reeves	Director of Nursing		22/01/2025
Authoriser	Carolyn Mills	Chief Nursing Officer		22/01/2025



Contents

Purpose	3
Scope	4
Our patient safety culture.....	6
Patient safety partners.....	7
Engaging and involving patients, families and staff following a patient safety incident	8
Patient safety incident response planning.....	10
Resources and training to support patient safety incident response.....	10
Our patient safety incident response plan	12
Reviewing the Patient Safety incident response plan.....	13
Responding to patient safety incidents.....	14
Patient safety incident reporting arrangements	14
Reporting an incident.....	14
Types of Patient Safety Event.....	14
Definitions of Harm	14
Saying Sorry.....	17
Patient safety incident response decision-making tree.....	18
Oversight Framework	19
Responding to cross-system incidents/issues.....	21
Timeframes for learning responses.....	22
Safety action development and monitoring improvement.....	24
Overview of safety action development according to context	24
Safety improvement plans	26
Oversight roles and responsibilities	27
Oversight Mindset.....	27
Oversight roles and responsibilities	28
Complaints and appeals	28
Appendix One: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL	29
Appendix Two: Terms of Reference: Insight & Learning into Action Group	32
Appendix Three: Terms of Reference: Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel	38
Appendix Four: Terms of Reference Patient Safety Event Review Group:.....	44
Appendix Five: Terms of Reference: Patient Safety Committee.....	48

Purpose

This policy supports the requirements of the Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (PSIRF) and sets out Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust's approach to developing and maintaining effective systems and processes for responding to patient safety events for the purpose of learning and improving patient safety.

PSIRF advocates a co-ordinated and data-driven response to patient safety events. It embeds patient safety incident responses within a wider system of improvement and prompts a significant cultural shift towards systematic patient safety management.

This policy supports development and maintenance of an effective patient safety event response system that integrates the four key aims of PSIRF:

- compassionate engagement and involvement of those affected by patient safety incidents
- application of a range of system-based approaches to learning from patient safety incidents
- considered and proportionate responses to patient safety incidents and safety issues
- supportive oversight focused on strengthening response system functioning and improvement.

Scope

This policy is specific to patient safety incident responses conducted solely for the purpose of learning and improvement across Royal Devon services including:

- Acute Hospital Services (inpatient, outpatient, day treatment and acute hospital at home)
- Community Hospital Services (inpatient, outpatient, and day treatment)
- Urgent and Emergency Care Services
- Specialist Community Services

Responses under this policy follow a systems-based approach. This recognises that patient safety is an emergent property of the healthcare system: that is, safety is provided by interactions between components and not from a single component. Responses do not take a 'person-focused' approach where the actions or inactions of people, or 'human error', are stated as the cause of an incident.

There is no remit to apportion blame or determine liability, preventability or cause of death in a response conducted for the purpose of learning and improvement. Other processes, such as claims handling, human resources investigations into employment concerns, professional standards investigations, coronial inquests and criminal investigations, exist for that purpose. The principle aims of each of these responses differ from those of a patient safety response and are outside the scope of this policy.

Information from a patient safety response process can be shared with those leading other types of responses, but other processes should not influence the remit of a patient safety incident response.

Throughout the Trust's patient safety response to patient safety events consideration will be given to the NHS Just Culture Guide. This supports a culture of fairness, openness and learning in the NHS by making staff feel confident to speak up when things go wrong, rather than fearing blame.

Supporting staff to be open about mistakes allows valuable lessons to be learnt so the same errors can be prevented from being repeated. In any organisations or teams where a blame culture is still prevalent, this guide is a powerful tool in promoting cultural change.

A just culture guide

Supporting consistent, constructive and fair evaluation of the actions of staff involved in patient safety incidents

This guide supports a conversation between managers about whether a staff member involved in a patient safety incident requires specific individual support or intervention to work safely. Action singling out an individual is rarely appropriate - most patient safety issues have deeper causes and require wider action.

The actions of staff involved in an incident should not automatically be examined using this just culture guide, but it can be useful if the investigation of an incident begins to suggest a concern about an individual action. The guide highlights important principles that need to be considered before formal management action is directed at an individual staff member.

An important part of a just culture is being able to explain the approach that will be taken if an incident occurs. A just culture guide can be used by all parties to explain how they will respond to incidents, as a reference point for organisational HR and incident reporting policies, and as a communication tool to help staff, patients and families understand how the appropriate response to a member of staff involved in an incident can and should differ according to the circumstances in which an error was made. As well as protecting staff from unfair targeting, using the guide helps protect patients by removing the tendency to treat wider patient safety issues as individual issues.

Please note:

- A just culture guide is not a replacement for an investigation of a patient safety incident. Only a full investigation can identify the underlying causes that need to be acted on to reduce the risk of future incidents.
- A just culture guide can be used at any point of an investigation, but the guide may need to be revisited as more information becomes available.
- A just culture guide does not replace HR advice and should be used in conjunction with organisational policy.
- The guide can only be used to take one action (or failure to act) through the guide at a time. If multiple actions are involved in an incident they must be considered separately.

Start here - **Q1. deliberate harm test**

1a. Was there any intention to cause harm?



Yes

Recommendation: Follow organisational guidance for appropriate management action. This could involve: contact relevant regulatory bodies, suspension of staff, and referral to police and disciplinary processes. Wider investigation is still needed to understand how and why patients were not protected from the actions of the individual.

END HERE

No go to next question - **Q2. health test**

2a. Are there indications of substance abuse?



Yes

Recommendation: Follow organisational substance abuse at work guidance. Wider investigation is still needed to understand if substance abuse could have been recognised and addressed earlier.

END HERE

2b. Are there indications of physical ill health?



Yes

Recommendation: Follow organisational guidance for health issues affecting work, which is likely to include occupational health referral. Wider investigation is still needed to understand if health issues could have been recognised and addressed earlier.

END HERE

2c. Are there indications of mental ill health?

If **No to all** go to next question - **Q3. foresight test**

3a. Are there agreed protocols/accepted practice in place that apply to the action/omission in question?



If No to any

Recommendation: Action singling out the individual is unlikely to be appropriate; the patient safety incident investigation should indicate the wider actions needed to improve safety for future patients. These actions may include, but not be limited to, the individual.

END HERE

3b. Were the protocols/accepted practice workable and in routine use?

3c. Did the individual knowingly depart from these protocols?

If **Yes to all** go to next question - **Q4. substitution test**

4a. Are there indications that other individuals from the same peer group, with comparable experience and qualifications, would behave in the same way in similar circumstances?



If Yes to any

Recommendation: Action singling out the individual is unlikely to be appropriate; the patient safety incident investigation should indicate the wider actions needed to improve safety for future patients. These actions may include, but not be limited to, the individual.

END HERE

4b. Was the individual missed out when relevant training was provided to their peer group?

4c. Did more senior members of the team fail to provide supervision that normally should be provided?

If **No to all** go to next question - **Q5. mitigating circumstances**

5a. Were there any significant mitigating circumstances?



Yes

Recommendation: Action directed at the individual may not be appropriate; follow organisational guidance, which is likely to include senior HR advice on what degree of mitigation applies. The patient safety incident investigation should indicate the wider actions needed to improve safety for future patients.

END HERE

If **No**

Recommendation: Follow organisational guidance for appropriate management action. This could involve individual training, performance management, competency assessments, changes to role or increased supervision, and may require relevant regulatory bodies to be contacted, staff suspension and disciplinary processes. The patient safety incident investigation should indicate the wider actions needed to improve safety for future patients.

END HERE

improvement.nhs.uk

Based on the work of Professor James Reason and the National Patient Safety Agency's Incident Decision Tree


Supported by:



NHS England and NHS Improvement

Our patient safety culture

In preparation for implementing PSIRF an analysis of our Patient Safety Culture was completed using the Manchester Patient Safety Framework (MaPSaF). MaPSaF uses critical dimensions of patient safety and, for each of these, offers a description of what an organisation would look like at five levels of safety culture maturity. By safety critical dimensions we mean key areas where attitudes, values and behaviour around patient safety are likely to be reflected in the organisation's working practices. For example, how patient safety incidents are investigated and what staff education and training about risk management takes place.



The MaPSaF results indicate that as an organisation our staff are experiencing behaviours that demonstrate we are ready to move beyond bureaucracy. The safety culture of Royal Devon is probably best described as Bureaucratic – Proactive.

The move towards a proactive safety culture is enabled by a number of Trust initiatives that are impacting upon our Safety culture. The Trust has launched its Strategic Objectives, "Better Together", which incorporates renewed Trust Values. Although this was the first analysis using MaPSaF the Trust has regularly explored its safety culture:

- Through the Annual Staff Survey
- Through exploration of organisational contributory factors within Serious Incident Investigations
-

The Trust plans to repeat the MaPSaF review in 2025 to assess our progress in developing a mature patient safety culture.

Patient safety partners

Work has continued to develop Patient Safety Partners, and this has been identified as a Quality Priority for 2024 – 2025. This has sought to build an effective infrastructure and procedural framework to ensure that the Trust is able to support Patient Safety Partners when we move to recruitment in 2025.

The Patient Safety Partners Procedure will define the role within the organisation, and the support which will be available for our partners. This will include:

- recruitment and selection
- equality and diversity
- induction and training
- health, safety and welfare
- support and appraisal
- expenses and involvement payments and process for claiming expenses
- confidentiality and data protection
- a problem-solving process for dealing with any PSP performance issues (linked to the appraisal process)
- approach to reward and recognition

The procedure will also include a process for measuring the impact of patient safety partner involvement.

Following the development and ratification of the Trust Patient Safety Partners Procedure, The Trust will embark on recruitment process for patient safety partners. The patient safety partners procedure will be reviewed once the initial partners have been in post for six months. This review will be conducted in partnership with the partners, so that learning from our initial experience of working with patient safety partners can be included.

Engaging and involving patients, families and staff following a patient safety incident

The Royal Devon acknowledges that we can only achieve meaningful learning and improvement following patient safety events if supportive systems and processes are in place to engage with the people who had been affected. Affected people is a broad term, which includes:

- The person or patient to who was directly involved and affected by a patient safety event.
- Their family or other significant people (which may include parents, partners, siblings, children, guardians, carers, and others who have a direct and close relationship with the individual to whom the incident occurred.)
- The staff who were directly involved in the event or its subsequent impact on the team or services.

The national guidance on involving affected people following patient safety events focuses on how to achieve compassionate engagement and involvement by:

1. supporting healthcare organisations to review and amend the systems they have in place to ensure an effective process of engagement and involvement with those affected by patient safety incidents
2. providing practical advice to support compassionate engagement with those affected by patient safety incidents
3. providing practical advice to enable meaningful involvement as part of a patient safety incident investigation (PSII), although the principles and approaches described can be applied to any type of patient safety incident and/or response method.

To achieve this affected people will have a single point of contact; This role will be undertaken by the person leading the learning response. All contacts with affected people will be recorded in the progress notes in Datix, creating a record of the support offered to affected people throughout the process.

Principles to inform the design of processes for engaging and involving affected people

1. Apologies are meaningful.

Apologies need to demonstrate understanding of the potential impact of the incident on those involved, and a commitment to address their questions and concerns. Ideally, an apology communicates a sense of accountability for the harm experienced, but not responsibility for it ahead of investigation. Getting an apology right is important – it sets the tone for everything that follows. Apologising is also a crucial part of the Duty of Candour.

2. Approach is individualised

Engagement and involvement should be flexible and adapt to individual and changing needs. These needs could be practical, physical, or emotional. Engagement leads should recognise that every response might need to be different, based on an understanding of the different needs and circumstances of those affected by an incident.

3. Timing is sensitive

Some people can feel they are being engaged and involved too slowly or too quickly, or at insensitive times. Engagement leads need to talk to those affected about the timing and structure of engagement and involvement, and any key dates to avoid (eg birthdays, funeral dates, anniversaries), particularly where someone has lost a loved one.

4. Those affected are treated with respect and compassion

Everyone involved in a learning response should be treated respectfully. There should be a duty of care to everyone involved in the patient safety incident and subsequent response, and opportunities provided for open communication and support through the process. Overlooking the relational elements of a learning response can lead to a breakdown of trust between those involved (including patients, families, and healthcare staff) and the organisation.

5. Guidance and clarity are provided

Patients, families, and healthcare staff can find the processes that follow a patient safety incident confusing. Those outside the health service, and even some within it, may not know what a patient safety incident is, why the incident they were involved in is being investigated or what the learning response entails. Patients, families, and healthcare staff can feel powerless and ill-equipped for the processes following a patient safety incident. Therefore, all communications and materials need to clearly describe the process and its purpose, and not assume any prior understanding.

6. Those affected are 'heard'

Everyone affected by a patient safety incident should have the opportunity to be listened to and share their experience. They will all have their individual perspective on what happened and each one is valid in building a comprehensive picture to support learning. Importantly, the opportunity to be listened to is also part of restoring trust and repairing relationships between organisations and staff, patients, and families.

7. Approach is collaborative and open

An investigation process that is collaborative and open with information, and provides answers, can reduce the chance litigation will be used as a route for being heard. The decision to litigate is a difficult one. Organisations must not assume that litigation is always about establishing blame – some feel it is the only way to get answers to their questions.

8. Subjectivity is accepted

Everyone will experience the same incident in different ways. No one truth should be prioritised over others. Engagement leads should ensure that patients, families, and healthcare staff are all viewed as credible sources of information in response to a patient safety incident.

9. Strive for equity

Organisations may differ from patients, families, and healthcare staff in what they consider is the appropriate response to a patient safety incident. The opportunity for

learning should be weighed against the needs of those affected by the incident. Engagement leads need to understand and seek information on the impact of how they choose response types on those affected by incidents and be aware of the risk of introducing inequity into the process of safety responses

Patient safety incident response planning

PSIRF supports organisations to respond to patient safety events and safety issues in a way that maximises learning and improvement, rather than basing responses on arbitrary and subjective definitions of harm. Beyond nationally set requirements, organisations can explore patient safety incidents relevant to their context and the populations they serve rather than only those that meet a certain defined threshold.


The Trust has redesigned its infrastructure for safety and quality

Resources and training to support patient safety incident response

The Trust has reviewed its resources and training against the NHS Patient Safety Incident Response Standards to ensure that it will be able to meet the requirements set within its incident response plan and policy.


Under the Executive Leadership of the Chief Nursing Officer the central patient safety team is being aligned to the key PSIRF functions of Insight and Improvement. The Trust’s Patient Safety Specialists will be accountable for delivery of the portfolio’s for insight and improvement.

Central Patient Safety Team



The Care Groups have revised their structures to support quality and safety, bringing patient experience and safety together into a framework which supports a focus on learning and quality improvement.

Care Group Safety and Quality Structure



There is some variation in the size and membership of teams dependent upon the size, complexity and activity of the various Care Groups, but the principles of this model are applied throughout the Trust.

In line with the patient safety incident response standards the Trust has followed the PSIRF Training Requirements, making the following packages available to Trust staff, with access to training in line with the Training Needs Analysis conducted by the Patient Safety Strategy Implementation Project Delivery Group.

The Care Groups and Central Team operate through a Hub and Spoke model, to ensure effective support to the Care Groups and to maximise opportunities to share learning. To support this the Oversight Framework for Patient Safety has been revised

Topic	Minimum Duration	Content	
Systems approach to learning from patient safety Incidents	2 days/12 hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to complex systems, systems thinking and human factors • Learning response methods: including interviewing and asking questions, capturing work as done, data synthesis, report writing, debriefs and after-action reviews • Safety action development, measurement, and monitoring 	
Oversight of learning from	1 day/6 hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NHS PSIRF and associated documents 	

patient safety incidents		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effective oversight and supporting processes • Maintaining an open, transparent and improvement focused culture • PSII commissioning and planning 	
Involving those affected by patient safety incidents in the learning process	1 day/6 hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Duty of Candour • Just culture • Being open and apologising • Effective communication • Effective involvement • Sharing findings • Signposting and support 	
Patient safety syllabus level 1: Essentials for patient safety	eLearning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listening to patients and raising concerns • The systems approach to safety: improving the way we work, rather than the performance of individual members of staff • Avoiding inappropriate blame when things don't go well • Creating a just culture that prioritises safety and is open to learning about risk and safety 	
Patient safety syllabus level 2: Access to practice	eLearning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction to systems thinking and risk expertise • Human factors • Safety culture 	
Continuing professional development (CPD)	At least annually	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To stay up to date with best practice (e.g. through conferences, webinars, etc) • Contribute to a minimum of two learning responses 	

Our patient safety incident response plan

The patient safety incident response plan sets out how Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust intends to respond to patient safety events over a period of 12 to 18 months. The plan is not permanent and will be refreshed on a regular basis. We will remain flexible and consider the specific circumstances in which patient safety issues and incidents occurred and the needs of those affected.

The plan establishes how the Trust will ensure that we undertake the appropriate learning responses to patient safety events where a particular response is nationally required. In addition, the plan describes the learning activity we will undertake as part of our local focus on patient safety events. The Patient Safety Incident Response Plan is published on our Trust website and can be accessed here: [Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan 2023 -2025](#)

Reviewing the Patient Safety incident response plan

We will review the plan every 12 to 18 months to ensure that our focus remains up to date. With ongoing improvement work our patient safety event profile is likely to change. This will also provide an opportunity to re-engage with stakeholders to discuss and agree any changes made. The Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Review Plan will be subject to a constant cycle of review and refresh. As learning from data analysis, learning reviews/ investigations and improvement activity all escalate to a single governance forum it will allow the synthesis required to challenge the relevance of our overarching plan.



A rigorous planning exercise will be undertaken every four years and more frequently if appropriate (as agreed with our integrated care board (ICB)) to ensure efforts continue to be balanced between learning and improvement. This more in-depth review will include reviewing our response capacity, mapping our services, a wide review of organisational data (for example, patient safety incident investigation (PSII) reports, improvement plans, complaints, claims, staff survey results, inequalities data, and reporting data) and wider stakeholder engagement. The approval process will ensure that the response plan and policy on our public facing website are always the current version.

Responding to patient safety incidents

Patient safety incident reporting arrangements

Reporting an incident

Reporting a patient safety event using the Trust's Risk Management System "Datix" is all you need to do to ensure the organisation is able to respond. This can be accessed from the Intranet homepage. Any member of staff with access to the Trust Intranet is able to report a patient safety event or example of Good Care.

All patient safety events should be reported as soon as is reasonably practicable after they occur.

Clicking the "Datix: report an incident" icon will open up the incident form. As staff make selections the form will update, so that they staff are only asked questions relevant to the safety event they are recording.

When the submit button is pressed the incident will be formally logged, and relevant people will be notified. (For example, if a staff member reports an incident which they believe contained a safeguarding concern this will notify the safeguarding team; If you report a pressure ulcer this will notify the tissue viability team.) Datix also notifies key people within your Care Group.

Types of Patient Safety Event

Every patient safety event is an opportunity to learn and improve; which makes our services safer for the people who use them and the people who work in them.

There are Four main types of Patient Safety Event:

Near Miss Incidents	A near miss is an error that has the potential to cause harm, but fails to reach the patient due to either through chance or because it is intercepted by planned or unplanned interventions
No Harm Incidents	An accident, act or omission which reaches the patient, but does not cause any physical or psychological harm
Incidents with Harm	An accident, act or omission which reaches the patient, which has caused any degree of physical or psychological harm
Good Care	An event where a patient has a more positive outcome due to an intentional act or omission on the part of a team or team member.

The Royal Devon encourages all colleagues to report patient safety events, particularly from the examples above. The Trust expects that all incidents which result in harm will be reported, and that team/ward leaders will support staff to report safety events.

Definitions of Harm

The following definitions of harm should be used when reporting an incident

Grade of Harm	Physical Harm	Psychological harm
No Harm	No physical harm	No psychological harm

Grade of Harm	Physical Harm	Psychological harm
Low Harm	<p>Low physical harm is when all of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> minimal harm occurred - patient(s) required extra observation or minor treatment did not or is unlikely to need further healthcare beyond a single GP, community healthcare professional, emergency department or clinic visit did not or is unlikely to need further treatment beyond dressing changes or short courses of oral medication did not or is unlikely to affect that patient's independence did not or is unlikely to affect the success of treatment for existing health conditions 	<p>Low psychological harm is when at least one of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> distress that did not or is unlikely to need extra treatment beyond a single GP, community healthcare professional, emergency department or clinic visit distress that did not or is unlikely to affect the patient's normal activities for more than a few days distress that did not or is unlikely to result in a new mental health diagnosis or a significant deterioration in an existing mental health condition
Moderate Harm	<p>Moderate harm is when at least one of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> has needed or is likely to need healthcare beyond a single GP, community healthcare professional, emergency department or clinic visit, and beyond dressing changes or short courses of medication, but less than 2 weeks additional inpatient care and/or less than 6 months of further treatment, and did not need immediate life-saving intervention has limited or is likely to limit the patient's independence, but for less than 6 months has affected or is likely to affect the success of treatment, but without meeting the criteria for reduced life expectancy or accelerated disability described under severe harm 	<p>Moderate psychological harm is when at least one of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> distress that did or is likely to need a course of treatment that extends for less than six months distress that did or is likely to affect the patient's normal activities for more than a few days but is unlikely to affect the patient's ability to live independently for more than six months distress that did or is likely to result in a new mental health diagnosis, or a significant deterioration in an existing mental health condition, but where recovery is expected within six months
Severe Harm	<p>Severe harm is when at least one of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> permanent harm / permanent alteration of the physiology needed immediate life-saving clinical intervention is likely to have reduced the patient's life expectancy needed or is likely to need additional inpatient care of more than 2 weeks and/or more than 6 months of further treatment has, or is likely to have, exacerbated or hastened 	<p>Severe psychological harm is when at least one of the following apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> distress that did or is likely to need a course of treatment that continues for more than six months distress that did or is likely to affect the patient's normal activities or ability to live independently for more than six months distress that did or is likely to result in a new mental health diagnosis, or a significant deterioration in an existing mental health condition, and recovery is not expected within six months

Grade of Harm	Physical Harm	Psychological harm
	permanent or long term (greater than 6 months) disability, of their existing health conditions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has limited or is likely to limit the patient's independence for 6 months or more 	
Fatal	You should select this option if, at the time of reporting, the patient has died and the incident that you are recording may have contributed to the death, including stillbirth or pregnancy loss.	

The definition of harm is based upon what is apparent at the time of reporting an incident and can be amended later.

Example:

A health care assistant responds to a noise in a bay, and finds a patient on the floor with an injury to their head. The patient is unresponsive and emergency help is summoned. The patient is confirmed as deceased.


This is reported as a fall / patient found on floor/ fatal.

Subsequent post mortem identifies that the patient had a significant unexpected cardiac event, which caused loss of consciousness and death. The fall would then be re-graded to no harm as it did not contribute to the patient's death


Saying Sorry

There is never a situation where saying sorry to someone affected by a patient safety event is the wrong thing to do.

Where a patient safety event has (or is reasonably believed to have) resulted in a moderate or greater degree of harm then a formal response under regulation 20 of the Health and Social Care Act (Duty of Candour) should be considered. The flowchart below illustrates the requirements of Duty of Candour



Patient safety incident response decision-making tree




Each Care Group has a Patient Safety and Quality Team who are able to assist with decision making around proportionate learning responses. They are in a position to escalate to the Care Group Leadership who will ensure that affected people receive a compassionate response. The Care Groups all hold regular Comm Cells to review patient safety and quality, which are attended by the Central Team.

Any patient safety event will be assessed against the Patient Safety Incident Response Plan to ensure that any response both is proportionate and compassionate.

If it is unclear how to proceed this should be discussed with a Patient Safety Specialist, who will be able to advise on the most appropriate course of action.

Oversight Framework



Emerging Patient Safety Event Review Panel

- Agrees if PSII is needed
- Identifies need for Multi-agency response.
- Advises on alternative Learning Approaches
- Forum for flagging concerns and new emerging trends in patient safety

Patient Safety Event Review Group

- Signs off investigations / learning requiring formal review
- Involves staff / services affected in identifying key learning
- Ensures the the views of the affected person or family are fully reflected in the report, and that their concerns are addressed
- Critiques learning and proposed safety improvement actions

Insight and Learning Into Action

- Supports progression of Learning Responses
- Shares Learning across Care Groups and the broader organisation
- Monitors completion of Safety Improvement Actions
- Assesses effectiveness of Safety Improvement Actions
- Monitors compliance against Quality Performance Indicators for Patient Safety


Patient Safety Committee

- This is the Board sub-committee which holds the organisations oversight of Patient Safety.
- Is accountable to the Board of Directors on the quality of our response to patient safety events
- Is sighted on all patient safety activity, insight and improvement.
- Ratifies the Incident Response Policy, Response Plan and Improvement Plan

Responding to cross-system incidents/issues

The aim of the oversight framework is to create a system where learning responses are managed as locally as possible to facilitate the involvement of those affected by the event and those responsible for delivery of the service in which the event or incident relates to. However, where a response involves multiple providers or services across a care pathway the Trust may seek to initiate a system response.

The emerging patient safety event panel will hold a standing agenda item for potential cross system response requests requiring ICB support. It will be the final internal arbitrator within the Royal Devon for the need for a Multi-Agency response. The Panel must use their judgement and seek the views of local partners to ensure learning responses are co-ordinated at the most appropriate level of the system.



The emerging patient safety event panel will hold a standing agenda item for potential cross system response requests requiring ICB support. It will be the final internal arbitrator within the Royal Devon for the need for a Multi-Agency response. The Panel must use their judgement and seek the views of local partners to ensure learning responses are co-ordinated at the most appropriate level of the system.

The emergent patient safety event panel will hold a standing agenda item for potential cross system response requests requiring ICB support. The initial scoping with the ICB and partners will agree the role and responsibilities of the different agencies involved, including who should undertake the responsibility of lead agency.

Timeframes for learning responses

One of the aims in employing a range of proportionate methodologies is to ensure timely learning which can be shared with those affected, and used to develop safety actions / contribute to overarching

Learning Response	Indicative timeframes	Process for agreeing timescales	Exceptions
Huddle / swarm	2 weeks – 4 weeks	The Care Group team will agree timescales on a case by case basis. Huddle should occur 3 – 5 working days following incident. The huddle documentation should be uploaded to Datix and identified learning shared with affected people	If the initial ward / team huddle does not occur in 3 – 5 days consider alternative methodology.
After Action Review	2 weeks – 6 weeks	The Care Group team will agree timescales on a case by case basis.	
MDT Review / Round table	4 weeks – 8 weeks	The Care Group team will agree timescales on a case by case basis.	
M&M Review	6 weeks – 12 weeks	The Speciality team will agree timescales on a case by case basis.	Cases requiring M&M review to generate learning should be prioritised
Concise Learning Review	3 months	Concise Learning Reviews will be Commissioned by The Emergent Patient Safety Event Panel or Care Group Associate Director of Patient Care	
Patient Safety Incident Investigation	3 months – 6 months	The initial timescale will be agreed by the Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel. This will then be reviewed with the effected person and revised in agreement with them.	

Multi-Agency / Cross System Reviews	4 months – 6 months	The Emergent Patient Safety Event Panel will commission a request for Multi- Agency Review.	The Lead Agency for the review will be agreed in collaboration with the other agencies.
--	------------------------	--	---

Safety action development and monitoring improvement

Areas for improvement can relate to a specific local context or to the context of the wider organisation.

Overview of safety action development according to context

	Local Context	Organisational Context
<i>Definition</i>	Specific area for improvement highlighted by a single (or multiple) learning responses	Broader area for improvement identified across several learning responses – likely not in response to any single patient safety incident but incidents with common contributory factors across events. Likely require radical system redesign
<i>Examples of areas that may require improvement</i>	Environment layout and characteristics (eg light, noise) Tool design Task design Training	Deep routed organisational issues, likely with long histories and dynamics, eg: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staffing, rotas, etc • IT infrastructure • Workload • Fatigue • Culture • Handovers • Procurement • Policies
<i>Development Team</i>	Learning response team Involvement of local team to design and implement Patient Safety Quality improvement team Those affected by the incident	Learning response team Involvement of local and broader team to design and implement (eg leadership, management) Patient Safety Quality improvement team Those affected by the incident
<i>Tools</i>	SEIPS adaptation of the Human Factors Intervention Matrix (HFIX) iFACES criteria and scoring rubric	
<i>Methods for Developing Safety Actions</i>	Interviews Observations Focus groups Desktop reviews Simulation/testing Standards quality improvement methods such as PDSA cycles	Qualitative review of patient safety learning response findings Surveys Literature reviews – what has worked well elsewhere? Focus groups Consensus panel – reaches a wider group of members with experience of work
<i>Expectation for Recording</i>	Included in learning response report (eg patient safety incident investigation (PSII) report) after an individual incident response or in wider safety improvement plan as appropriate.	Included in a safety improvement plan bringing together findings from various responses

Defining safety measures is a three-step process:



The development of safety actions and the monitoring of improvement will be a primary function of the Patient Safety Improvement Forum. This forum will bring together the necessary team members to develop effective safety measures.

The forum will also monitor and review safety improvement actions to ensure that they remain impactful and are sustainable.

The Patient Safety Committee will be accountable to the Board of Directors for ensuring that our Patient Safety Improvement Plan remains relevant to our incident profile, effective and is leading to sustainable improvement across the organisation.

Safety improvement plans

The Royal Devon has established patient safety workstreams in place for areas of improvement. These are represented in our **Patient Safety on a Page Plan**

This plan provides a high-level overview of the patient safety priorities for the Royal Devon. This does not present the totality of Patient Safety Work undertaken by the organisation.

Patient Safety on a Page		
<p>Slips Trips and Falls</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement activity regarding Slips, trips and falls is coordinated by the Falls and Frailty Steering Group The Falls and Frailty Steering Group has an annual work plan which provides details of the Trust's improvement activity together with monitoring of data and reviewing learning from safety events. The workplan is presented to the Patient Safety Committee The group provides the Committee with regular updates on the progress of the work plan and any risks to non-completion 	<p>Pressure Ulcers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement activity regarding Pressure Ulcers is coordinated by the Tissue Viability Steering Group The Tissue Viability Steering Group has an annual work plan which provides details of the Trust's improvement activity together with monitoring of data and reviewing learning from safety events. The workplan is presented to the Patient Safety Committee The group provides the Committee with regular updates on the progress of the work plan and any risks to non-completion 	<p>Deteriorating Patients</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement activity regarding Deteriorating Patients is coordinated by the Resuscitation Steering Group The Resuscitation Steering Group has an annual work plan which provides details of the Trust's improvement activity together with monitoring of data and reviewing learning from safety events. The workplan is presented to the Patient Safety Committee The group provides the Committee with regular updates on the progress of the work plan and any risks to non-completion
<p>Discharge</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement activity regarding Slips, trips and falls is coordinated by the Discharge Improvement Group The Discharge Improvement Group is developing its first work plan which will detail improvement priorities together with the approach for monitoring the quality of discharge information. The group provides the Patient Safety Committee with regular updates on the progress of it's work and any risks to non-completion 	<p>Assessment and Prevention of VTE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Trust is currently reviewing and consolidating its activity supporting improvements in the assessment and prevention of VTE The procedural framework is being updated The approach to monitoring quality improvement metrics is currently being designed. The Governance and oversight approach for this workstream is currently being developed. This workstream will begin reporting in Q2 2025 - 2026 	<p>Identification of Patients</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A safety collaborative approach between the Patient Safety Team, The Transformation Team and the Clinical Care Groups is under development to review the Trusts approach to Patient Identification and establish safety recommendations.

Oversight roles and responsibilities

Oversight of patient safety incident response has traditionally included activity to hold provider organisations to account for the quality of their patient safety incident investigation reports. Oversight under PSIRF focuses on engagement and empowerment rather than the more traditional command and control.

Oversight Mindset

The following principles underpin the oversight of the Trust's patient safety incident response:

1. Improvement is the focus

PSIRF oversight should focus on enabling and monitoring improvement in the safety of care, not simply monitoring investigation quality.

2. Blame restricts insight

Oversight should ensure learning focuses on identifying the system factors that contribute to patient safety incidents, not finding individuals to blame.

3. Learning from patient safety incidents is a proactive step towards improvement

Responding to a patient safety incident for learning is an active strategy towards continuous improvement, not a reflection of an organisation having done something wrong.

4. Collaboration is key

A meaningful approach to oversight cannot be developed and maintained by individuals or organisations working in isolation – it must be done collaboratively.

5. Psychological safety allows learning to occur

Oversight requires a climate of openness to encourage consideration of different perspectives, discussion around weaknesses and a willingness to suggest solutions. 6. Curiosity is powerful Leaders have a unique opportunity to do more than measure and monitor. They can and should use their position of power to influence improvement through curiosity. A valuable characteristic for oversight is asking questions to understand rather than to judge

Oversight roles and responsibilities

The Board of Directors (or those with delegated responsibility, including members of the patient safety sub-committees), is responsible and accountable for effective patient safety incident management in their organisation. This includes supporting and participating in cross system/multi-agency responses and/or independent patient safety incident investigations (PSIIs) where required. The board of Directors should identify a PSIRF executive lead to support the responsibilities outlined below.

PSIRF executive lead responsibilities

- Ensure the organisation meets national patient safety incident response standards
- Ensure PSIRF is central to overarching safety governance arrangements:
 - Patient safety incident reporting and response data, learning response findings, safety actions, safety improvement plans, and progress are discussed at the board of Director's patient safety sub-committee
 - Roles, training, processes, accountabilities, and responsibilities of staff are in place to support an effective organisational response to incidents.
- Quality assure learning response outputs. The PSIRF executive lead should be responsible for reviewing PSII reports in line with the patient safety incident response standards and signing it off as finalised.
- Ensuring processes are in place to ensure that all safety actions implemented in response to learning or wider safety improvement plan(s) are monitored, to check they are delivering the required improvement.
- Progress on individual actions should be reviewed at appropriate intervals using relevant data, and an overall assessment of the delivery of all safety actions at least every four years as part of the requirements to review patient safety incident response plans.

Complaints and appeals

Even with ongoing engagement and involvement of affected people there may still be times when people feel dissatisfied with the response the Trust has provided following a learning review. In this event; It is the Trust's responsibility to seek early resolution to any issues raised, in line with the NHS Complaint Standards.

- Initially there should be a discussion between the affected person and their lead contact.
- If they are unable to resolve the issues this should be escalated to a patient safety specialist who will meet with the dissatisfied affected person.
- In the event that this resolution is unsuccessful the patient safety specialist will support the affected person to log a formal complaint through the Trust via the Patient Experience pathway.
- This pathway will enable access to the complaints appeal process and the Parliamentary Ombudsman

Appendix One: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

Name of document	Patient Safety Incident Response Policy
Care Group/Directorate and service area	Corporate, Nursing and Professions
Name, job title and contact details of person completing the assessment	Robert Mann Associate Director for Safety and Quality, Patient Safety Specialist robert.mann@nhs.net
Date completed:	12 January 2025

The purpose of this tool is to:

- **identify** the equality issues related to a policy, procedure or strategy
- **summarise the work done** during the development of the document to reduce negative impacts or to maximise benefit
- **highlight unresolved issues** with the policy/procedure/strategy which cannot be removed but which will be monitored, and set out how this will be done.

1. What is the main purpose of this document?

This Policy sets out the approach to managing and learning from patient safety events, and establishing a trust wide approach to patient safety improvement

2. Who does it mainly affect? (Please insert an "x" as appropriate:)

Carers Staff Patients Other (please specify)

3. Who might the policy have a 'differential' effect on, considering the "protected characteristics" below? (By *differential* we mean, for example that a policy may have a noticeably more positive or negative impact on a particular group e.g. it may be more beneficial for women than for men)

Please insert an "x" in the appropriate box (x)

Protected characteristic	Relevant	Not relevant
--------------------------	----------	--------------

Age	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sex - including: Transgender, and Pregnancy / Maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Race	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Religion / belief	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sexual orientation – including: Marriage / Civil Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4. **Apart from those with protected characteristics, which other groups in society might this document be particularly relevant to...** (e.g. those affected by homelessness, bariatric patients, end of life patients, those with carers etc.)?

This policy will have a significant impact on those affected by patient safety events, staff, patient and carers; as the national patient safety framework will ensure that they are involved in developing the learning responses from events. Reviews of incidents will use systems-based methodology which supports just culture principles and avoids blame.

5. **Do you think the document meets our human rights obligations?**

Feel free to expand on any human rights considerations in question 6 below.

A quick guide to human rights:

- **Fairness** – how have you made sure it treat everyone justly?
- **Respect** – how have you made sure it respects everyone as a person?
- **Equality** – how does it give everyone an equal chance to get whatever it is offering?
- **Dignity** – have you made sure it treats everyone with dignity?
- **Autonomy** – Does it enable people to make decisions for themselves?

6. **Looking back at questions 3, 4 and 5, can you summarise what has been done during the production of this document and your consultation process to support our equality / human rights / inclusion commitments?**

This policy has been coproduced with patient representatives and members from partner organisations. This policy describes how the Royal Devon will enact the requirements of the NHS Patient Safety Strategy 2019. This was subject to an in-depth equality impact assessment, which will be published alongside the Strategy on the Trust Website.

7. If you have noted any ‘missed opportunities’, or perhaps noted that there remains some concern about a potentially negative impact please note this below and how this will be monitored/addressed.

“Protected characteristic”:	
Issue:	
How is this going to be monitored/ addressed in the future:	
Group that will be responsible for ensuring this carried out:	

Appendix Two: Terms of Reference: Insight & Learning into Action Group

Terms of Reference

These Terms of Reference are used as evidence for:	
Care Quality Commission Regulation:	12, Safe care and treatment 17, Good governance 20, Duty of candour
Other (<i>please specify</i>):	NHS Patient Safety Strategy (2019) NHS Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (2022)

1. **Accountability**

1.1 The Insight & Learning into Action reports to the Patient Safety Committee.

2. **Purpose**

2.1 The group will provide the essential interface between Care Groups and the Central Patient Safety Team, supporting the monitoring of key performance indicators and transforming insight and learning into safety improvement actions which are sustainable and measurable. To achieve this the group will focus on five key areas of activity:

2.2 **Insight:**

The Group will work collaboratively to achieve a range of key performance indicators, escalating any risks, concerns or gaps in assurance to the Patient Safety Committee. This will include:

- Monitoring the progression of Patient Safety Incident Investigations, working collaboratively to ensure that learning reports are completed within expected timescales.
- Review trends in patient safety event data, identifying variation in the type of events, and their impact on affected people. Highlight where there is a cause of concern in the data
- Monitor open and overdue actions from learning responses,
- Ensure that the statutory duty of candour has been completed in line with regulatory standards for all closed patient safety events which meet the required threshold
- Review open National Patient Safety Alerts, identifying any barriers to completing actions. The group will ensure action plans are progressed and once completed, will escalate to Patient Safety Committee for Closure.

2.3 **Learning into Action**

- Review recommendations from completed learning responses and their associated safety improvement plans. Finalise safety improvement plans prior

to learning reports being escalated to Patient Safety Committee for approval, ensuring that all safety actions are SMART.

- Commission LASER reviews of completed action plans, to ensure they resulted in intended outcome.

2.4 **Sharing Learning**

- The Group will act as the editorial committee for the Trust's **iBulletin**, ensuring that patient safety learning is disseminated Trust wide, and that the content is relevant and reflects current practice.
- The Group will share safety and quality issues which are a current cause of concern within the Care Group, and where an issue requires Trust-wide Dissemination commission a "Spotlight on Safety" item for the all staff bulletin.
- The group will recommend and finalise the content for Patient Safety Briefing Posters, to share key learning messages which are not time sensitive.
- Review patient safety insight and improvement information, to incorporate into regular reviews of the Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan.

2.5 **Sustainable Improvement**

- Support adoption and spread of effective safety actions following LASER reviews
- Provide support to patient safety improvement projects within the Care Groups
- Commission responsive improvement activity following patient safety events to minimise risk of reoccurrence, and a coordinated Trust wide response.

2.6 **Effective Safety Systems**

- The Group will be the approval route for changes to the Trust's Risk Management System (Datix).
- Minor changes to Datix, which the group has identified as improving usability of the system may be made live in the meeting.
- The group will make recommendations for standing reports and dashboards to support safety oversight and learning within Care Groups, without unwarranted variation.

3. **Membership**

3.1 The membership shall consist of:

- Director of Nursing (Chair)
- Care Group Safety and Quality Leads or equivalent (Rotational Deputy Chair)
- Safety and Quality Improvement Managers
- Patient Safety Specialists
- Clinical Director for Patient Safety
- Care Group Medical Leads for Governance (or equivalent)
- Clinical Risk Manager
- Patient Safety Improvement Lead
- Clinical Matron for Patient Safety
- Matron Complaints and Patient Support

- Lead Patient Safety Event Investigator
- Safety and Quality Systems Lead
- Transformation Team representative

3.2 The Director of Nursing will be the Chair of the Group.

The role of Deputy Chair will be undertaken by a Care Group Safety and Quality Lead. This role will rotate through the Care Groups at a six-monthly basis.

The Group will review the membership annually to ensure that it reflects the requirements of patient safety oversight within the Trust.

3.3 The Group will not have any formal sub-groups reporting into it; however:

- Individuals may be co-opted for specific projects and reports.
- Task and Finish Groups may be established to undertake or oversee specific patient safety improvement work

4. A Quorum

4.1 A quorum will consist of the Chair, and no fewer than 10 members of the Group with at least the following members present:

- Chair
- Care Group Representation:
 - Safety and Quality Lead or Safety and Quality Improvement Manager from each care group
- A Patient Safety Specialist
- A medical representative
- One representative from the Central Patient Safety Team (Insight Function)
- One representative from the Central Patient Safety Team (Improvement Function)

5. Procedures

5.1 The Group shall appoint a secretary to prepare agendas and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the Group.

Formal minutes will not be kept, there will be a log of actions and decisions made by the Group.

The secretary shall be responsible for maintaining, real time, the repository for agendas, actions log and Decisions log on the Governance Shared Drive. All meetings will be held virtually on MS Teams.

5.2 The Group will provide regular escalation reports to the Patient Safety Committee; in accordance with with the Committee's schedule of reporting

6. Frequency of Meetings

6.1 The Group will meet every two weeks, with no fewer than 12 meetings within a financial reporting year.

- 6.2 Extraordinary meetings may be called at the request of the Chair or at the request of any three members of the Group.

7. Duties and Responsibilities

The role of the Insight and Learning into Action Group is to:

7.1

- Ensure that learning from patient safety events is disseminated throughout the Trust, using multiple platforms, including Bulletins; Trust Wide Comms and professional forums (e.g. Care Matters).
- To provide assurance that patient safety improvement actions are progressing as planned, and where necessary identify any additional support required.
- To assess the effectiveness of planned safety improvement actions through LASER reviews.
- To Maintain an effective risk management system which is responsive to the needs of those using the system.
- To ensure that the Trust is fulfilling its statutory obligations in regard to Duty of Candour
- To reduce unwarranted variation in safety reporting across the care groups.
- To be the lead forum for undertaking regular reviews of the Trust's Patient Safety Incident Response Plan and Policy
- Ensure a timely and effective response to patient safety events is undertaken, where immediate learning requires an intervention / safety improvement actions to immediately reduce risk or prevent reoccurrence.
- Monitor the progression of patient safety event learning responses (PSII's), and identifying supportive actions where progress has been delayed.


8. Monitoring the effectiveness of the Group

- 8.1 Ensure members have attended at least 9 meetings annually and the quorum is consistently met.
- 8.2 Ensure timescales for the production of reports from the Group to the Patient Safety Committee are consistently met.

9. Review

- 9.1 The Insight and Learning into Action Group will review the Terms of Reference (ToR) document annually to ensure that it remains fit for purpose and is best facilitated to discharge its duties, and will submit the draft ToRs to the Patient Safety Committee for approval.

Appendix One: Patient Safety Oversight Structure



Appendix Three: Terms of Reference: Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel

Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel

Terms of Reference

These Terms of Reference are used as evidence for:	
Care Quality Commission Regulation:	12, Safe Care and treatment 20, Duty of Candour
Other (please specify):	NHS Patient Safety Strategy (2019) NHS Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (2022)

1. Accountability

- 1.1. The Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel reports to the Patient Safety Committee which is a sub-committee of the Board of Directors.

2. Purpose

- 2.1. The Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel will review incidents being escalated from the Care Groups against the Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan; ensuring that proportionate approaches to learn from patient safety events are being applied consistently across all clinical services.
- 2.2. The panel will track emerging trends in patient safety events, from a range of sources including
- i. Local insight from Care Groups escalating concerns
 - ii. Insight from Devon Patient Safety Collaborative
 - iii. National Insight from the Central Alerting System

3. Membership

- 3.1. The Emerging Patient Safety Events Panel membership shall consist of:

Trust Directors of Nursing (Chair)

Trust Medical Director

Patient Safety Specialists

Clinical Risk Manager

Clinical Matron for Patient Safety

Safety Improvement Lead

Electronic Patient Record / EPIC Team Representative

Lead Patient Safety Event Investigator

Representation from Devon Integrated Care Board (Patient Safety or Nursing and Quality)

3.2. The membership will be reviewed annually, in line with updating the Terms of Reference.

3.3. Care Group Representatives will attend for a pre-arranged 15-minute timeslot to present their emerging patient safety concern to the panel.

3.4. The Chief Nursing Officer and Chief Medical Officer shall have an open invite to attend the panel, as the executive leads for patient safety. They will receive all relevant documentation for the panel.

4. A Quorum


4.1. A quorum will consist of not less than six members of the Group with at least the following members present:

- Chair or Deputy Chair (Trust Director)
- A Patient Safety Specialist
- Two other members

5. Procedures

5.1. The Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel shall appoint a secretary to prepare agendas, keep action notes and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the Group. The secretary will be responsible for maintaining in real time the repository for the Terms of Reference, agenda, minutes and the action and attendance log on the Governance shared drive.

- 5.2. Any member of staff may raise an issue with the Chair, normally by written submission. The Chair will decide whether or not the issue shall be included in the group business. The individual raising the matter will be invited to attend.
- 5.3. The Chair/Deputy Chair will ensure agendas are prepared and maintain minutes and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the group. The Chair/Deputy Chair will approve the minutes of all meetings.
- 5.4. The Patient Safety Specialist (Insight) will prepare escalation reports from the Group to the Patient Safety Committee.
- 5.5. The Care Group Clinical Representatives be expected to provide updates from the Panel to Care Group Governance.
- 5.6. Key reporting Structures are:



6. Frequency of Meetings


- 6.1. Meetings will be held weekly; the Chair can stand down the meeting if there are no specific items to review. The group will meet a minimum of 18 times per year.

- 6.2. Extraordinary meetings may be called at the request of any three members of the group or at the request of the Patient Safety Committee.

7. Duties and Responsibilities

- 7.1.
- Receive, review and apply critical challenge to emerging patient safety events escalated from the Care Group Teams which are:
 - Events which are identified as requiring Patient Safety Incident Investigation (either national or local reporting requirements) within the Trust's Patient Safety Incident Response Plan.
 - Events which potentially meet the requirement for Patient Safety Incident Investigation (either national or local reporting requirements) within the Trust's Patient Safety Incident Response Plan.
 - The Care Group are seeking reassurance and advice on the appropriate proportionate response to a patient safety event.
 - The Care Group wish to flag an event as being of concern; or possibly indicating an emergent patient safety trend.
 - To review patient safety events which may require a multi-agency or system response, and to escalate these to the integrated care board in line with the Trusts Patient Safety Incident Response Policy
 - Receive regular insight reports:
 - Monthly Harm Reports of all patient safety events which have resulted in moderate or more significant harm.
 - Quarterly Low Harm Reports, of patient safety events which resulted in no or low harm
 - Ensure adherence to the Trusts Patient Safety Incident Response Policy and Plan.
 - Operate a systematic and proportionate approach to learning, ensuring consistency of approach across Care Groups.
 - To ensure that compassionate engagement has been enacted for everyone effected by a patient safety event, and where required Duty of Candour has been appropriately brokered.
 - Receive alerts from the Central Alerting Service, ensuring that the Patient Safety Specialists have proportionately responded to and actioned the alert.
 - To commission Safety Briefings based upon learning from events and immediate safety actions taken by teams and reported to the Group
 - To receive patient safety event SPC analysis charts to review emerging trends in incidents.

7.2. **Patient Safety Event Decision Making Tree**



8. Monitoring the effectiveness of the committee/group/forum

- 8.1. Ensure members have attended at least 75% of meetings annually and the quorum is consistently met.
- 8.2. The Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel will provide an exception report to the Patient Safety Committee on themes arising from events and any concerns, risks or items of escalation for the Committee in line with the Committee reporting schedule. The Patient Safety Committee subsequently reports to the Board through the Governance Committee.
- 8.3. The Patient Safety Committee will review the Terms of Reference of the Emerging Patient Safety Event annually to ensure that it remains fit for purpose and is facilitated to discharge its duties.

Appendix Four: Terms of Reference Patient Safety Event Review Group: Terms of Reference

These Terms of Reference are used as evidence for:	
Care Quality Commission Regulation:	12, Safe Care and treatment 20, Duty of Candour
Other (<i>please specify</i>):	NHS Patient Safety Strategy (2019) NHS Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (2022)

1. **Accountability**

- 1.1. The Patient Safety Event Review Group reports to the Patient Safety Committee which is a sub-committee of the Board of Directors

2. **Purpose**

- 2.1. The Patient Safety Event Review Group will review Patient Safety Learning Responses from Patient Safety Incident Investigations (PSII's) commissioned in line with the Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan; ensuring that proportionate approaches to learn from patient safety events are being applied consistently across all clinical services.
- 2.2. The panel will undertake the final quality review of patient safety incident investigations prior to their presentation to the Patient Safety Committee for Executive ratification.

3. **Membership**

- 3.1. The Incident Review Group membership shall consist of:
 - Trust Directors of Nursing (Chair)
 - Trust Medical Directors
 - Patient Safety Specialists
 - Patient Safety Partners
 - Assistant Director of Governance
 - Clinical Risk Manager
 - Safety Improvement Lead
 - Clinical Matron for Patient Safety
 - Integrated Care Board Representative

- 3.2. The following individuals shall be required to attend by standing invitation of the Chair to provide specialist advice to the Committee:
- Patient Safety Event Investigators
 - Staff affected / involved with an incident
 - Care Group Leads for Quality and Safety
 - Medication Safety Officer
 - Medical Device Safety Officer
 - Care Group representatives (Governance or Clinical by prior arrangement with Care Group Triumvirates)
- 3.3. The membership will be reviewed annually, in line with updating the Terms of Reference.
- 3.4. Care Group Representatives will attend with the lead investigator for a pre-arranged timeslot to present to the group. People affected will also be invited to contribute to the identification of learning. Timeslots will be:
- Presenting a patient safety event learning response – 30 minutes
 - Presenting learning from Care Group patient safety activity – 15 minutes
- 3.5. The Chief Nursing Officer and Chief Medical Officer shall have an open invite to attend the group, as the Executive Leads for Patient Safety.


4. A Quorum

- 4.1. A quorum will consist of not less than six members of the Group with at least the following members present, of which three must be clinical
- Chair or Deputy Chair
 - A Patient Safety Specialist
 - A Patient Safety Partner (Once appointed)
 - Three other members of the Group

5. Procedures

- 5.1. The Patient Safety Event Review Group shall appoint a secretary to prepare agendas, keep minutes and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the Group. The secretary will be responsible for maintaining in real time the repository for the Terms of Reference, agenda, minutes and the action and attendance log on the Governance shared drive.
- 5.2. Any member of staff may raise an issue with the Chair, normally by written submission. The Chair will decide whether or not the issue shall be included in the group business. The individual raising the matter will be invited to attend.

- 5.3. The Chair will ensure agendas are prepared and maintain minutes and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the group. The Chair will approve the minutes of all meetings.
- 5.4. A Patient Safety Specialist will prepare escalation reports from the Group to the Patient Safety Committee.
- 5.5. The Care Group Clinical Representatives will represent their clinical Care Groups and their Care Group Governance Group within the Patient Safety Event Review Group. They will be expected to share learning identified by the Group to DGG, and for cascade to the relevant speciality governance forums.
- 5.6. Key reporting Structures are:



6. Frequency of Meetings

- 6.1. Meetings will be held monthly; however, the Chair can stand down the meeting if there are no specific events or learning to be discussed.
- 6.2. Extraordinary meetings may be called at the request of any three members of the group or at the request of the Patient Safety Committee.

7. Duties and Responsibilities

- Receive, review and apply critical challenge to all patient safety events which meet the criteria for Patient Safety Incident Investigations (PSII) as defined in the Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan.
- The Group will approve PSII reports prior to escalation to the Patient Safety Committee, ensuring that:
 - The report has addressed any concerns or issues raised by the people affected by the event.
 - The report meets the terms of reference which were established with the Emergent Patient Safety Event Panel.
 - The report has clearly identified systems-based learning and safety actions which will support transference of learning into practice
- The Group will ensure that affected people (staff, patients, family or carers) have the opportunity to attend the group (if they wish to do so) for the presentation of the investigation report into the event they were involved in.
- The Group will ensure that affected people have opportunity to comment upon the learning identified and the safety actions which have been developed.
- Identify the appropriate escalation channels for identified learning and safety actions, including:
 - Insight and Learning into Action Group
 - Highlighting to specific Speciality or Care Group Governance forums
 - Sharing with the Integrated Care Board through escalation to the Devon and Cornwall Patient Safety collaborative.
 - Publication through iBulletin and Safety Briefings

8. Monitoring the effectiveness of the committee/group/forum

- 8.1. Ensure members have attended at least 60% of meetings annually and the quorum is consistently met.
- 8.2. The Patient Safety Event Review Group will escalate Patient Safety Incident Investigation reports and legacy Never Event Investigation reports to the Patient Safety Committee and subsequently reporting to the Board through the Quality Committee.
- 8.3. The Patient Safety Committee will review the Terms of Reference of the Patient Safety Event Review Group annually to ensure that it remains fit for purpose and is facilitated to discharge its duties.

Appendix Five: Terms of Reference: Patient Safety Committee

PATIENT SAFETY COMMITTEE

Terms of Reference

These Terms of Reference are used as evidence for:	
Care Quality Commission Regulation:	12, Safe care and treatment 17, Good governance 20, Duty of candour
Other (<i>please specify</i>):	NHS Patient Safety Strategy (2019) NHS Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (2022)

1. Accountability

- 1.1 The Patient Safety Committee reports to the Quality Committee which is a Sub-Committee of the Board of Directors.

2. Purpose

- 2.1 In line with national and local priorities, the Patient Safety Committee will:
- 2.2
- Set the strategic direction for patient safety with the purpose of ensuring delivery against the strategic objectives in the patient safety strategy Provide assurance to the Board that the Trust has appropriate governance structures, processes and controls in place to:
 - Monitor delivery of the implementation of the patient safety strategy
 - Identify, prioritise and manage risks related to patient safety
 - Receive and provide assurance that the Trust is meeting its statutory and regulatory compliance responsibilities related to patient safety

- 2.3 Oversee the Trusts implementation of the Royal Devon Patient Safety Incident Response Plan and monitor compliance with the Patient Safety Incident Response Policy.

- 2.4 Review and ratify Patient Safety Incident Investigation Reports.

- 2.5 Monitor the effectiveness of the Trust's Patient Safety Improvement Programme, ratifying the priorities within the plan, and seek assurance that learning is being embedded into effective quality improvement activity.

- 2.6 Receive reports from the sub groups (see 3.2) and report by exception, areas of risk and/or assurance to escalate to the Quality Committee.

3. Membership

- 3.1 The Patient Safety Committee membership shall consist of:
- Chief Nursing Officer (Co-Chair - joint accountability with CMO)
 - Chief Medical Officer (Co-Chair - joint accountability with CNO)
 - Trust Directors of Nursing
 - Trust Medical Directors

- Director of Operations
- Director of Midwifery
- Deputy Medical Director
- Directors of Patient Care
- Patient Safety Specialists
- Clinical Director for Patient Safety
- Infection Prevention and Control Lead or DIPC
- Clinical Risk Manager
- Patient Safety Partner
- Devon Integrated Care Board Representative

3.2 Chairs of reporting sub-groups in attendance when required as per the schedule of reports:

- Emerging Patient Safety Event Panel (Director of Nursing)
- Incident Review Group – *Note: IRG to remain in existence until all SIs have closed (Director of Nursing)*
- Infection Prevention and Decontamination Assurance Group (Chief Nursing Officer- *Infection Prevention and Control Lead/DIPC to present*)
- Medical Devices Steering Group (Deputy Medical Director)
- Mortality Review Group (Medical Director)
- Patient Safety Event Review Group (Director of Nursing)
- Patient Safety Improvement Forum (Director of Nursing)
- Radiation Safety Group (Director of Medical Physics and Clinical Engineering)

3.3 The Committee will review the membership of the Committee annually to ensure that it reflects the requirements of governance within the Trust.

3.4 The Chief Nursing Officer and Chief Medical Officer shall co-chair the Patient Safety Committee as Executive Leads with joint accountability for Patient Safety. In the absence of the Chief Nursing Officer or Chief Medical Officer as Chair, the Trust Directors of Nursing or Trust Medical Director will deputise on their behalf.

3.5 Individuals may be co-opted for specific projects and reports.

4. A Quorum

4.1 A quorum will consist of the Chair, and no fewer than 5 members of the Group with at least the following members present:

- Chair
- Senior Clinician: Medical Director/Director of Nursing/ Deputy Medical Director/Director of Patient Care
- A Patient Safety Specialist
- 2 Sub-Group Chairs or nominated Deputy

5. Procedures

- 5.1 The Patient Safety Committee shall appoint a secretary to prepare agendas, keep minutes and deal with any other matters concerning the administration of the Committee. The secretary shall be responsible for maintaining, real time, the repository for agendas, minutes, actions log and attendance log on the Governance Shared Drive. All meetings will be held virtually on MS Teams.
- 5.2 Any member of staff may raise an issue with the Chair, normally by written submission. The Chair will decide whether or not the issue shall be included in the Committee's business. The individual raising the matter may be invited to attend.
- 5.3 The Patient Safety Committee will provide assurance report to the Quality Committee after each meeting.

6. Frequency of Meetings

- 6.1 The Committee will meet six-weekly, with no fewer than 7 meetings within a financial reporting year.
- 6.2 Extraordinary meetings may be called at the request of the Chair or at the request of any three members of the Quality Committee or the Chair of the Board of Directors.

7. Duties and Responsibilities

The role of the Patient Safety Committee is to:

- 7.1
- Ensure that the patient safety agenda within the Trust is managed in line with the NHS Patient Safety Strategy (2019), the Patient Safety Incident Response Framework (2022) and the Regulatory Requirements of the Health and Social Care Act (2008).
 - Develop and ensure implementation of the Trust's Patient Safety Incident Response Policy.
 - Provide strategic oversight and ensure implementation of the Patient Safety Incident Response Plan.
 - Ensure, through promotion of the Patient Safety Incident Response Policy and associated documents, that responsibility for patient safety is owned and enacted by all levels of staff within the organisation.
 - Provide assurance to the Board of Directors via Quality Committee that the Trust is analysing patient safety insight to identify emergent patient safety trends.
 - Ensure the organisation actively investigates; analyses and learns from patient safety events, including Patient Safety Incidents (PSIIs) via reports from the Patient Safety Event Review Group and Incident Review Group.
 - Identify & review new, existing & emerging risks relating to patient safety throughout the Trust and ensure those risks are assessed with appropriate

mitigating actions in place.

- Provide strategic overview of the Trust's Patient Safety Improvement Plan.
- Provide assurance to the Board of Directors via Quality Committee that patient safety improvements are being completed in line with the Patient Safety Incident Response Plan, that action plans are in place and monitored through to completion, and that completed projects are undergoing adoption and spread.
- Provide assurance that the Trust is meeting its obligations under regulation 20 of the Health and Social Care Act (Duty of Candour)
- Provide reports in accordance with the Quality Committee Schedule of Reports.
- Approve procedure documents (strategies, policies, protocols and procedures) relating to Patient Safety in accordance with the Trust's Policy for the development, ratification and management of procedural documents.

8. Monitoring the effectiveness of the Committee

- 8.1 Ensure members have attended at least 6 meetings annually and the quorum is consistently met.
- 8.2 Ensure that each sub-group provides reports in accordance with the Patient Safety Committee Report Schedule.
- 8.3 Ensure timescales for the production of reports from the Committee to the Quality Committee are consistently met.

9. Review

- 9.1 The Patient Safety Committee will review the Terms of Reference (ToR) document annually to ensure that it remains fit for purpose and is best facilitated to discharge its duties, and will submit the draft ToRs to the Quality Committee for approval.



**Royal Devon
University Healthcare**
NHS Foundation Trust

Committee Reporting Structure

